

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,202	07/31/2003	John C. Fallin	03178-PA	2181	
75	590 11/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			KUHNS, SARAH LOUISE		
Intellectual Proj Suite 220	perty Law Offices		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
502 Washington	n Avenue		1761		
Towson, MD	21204		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,202	FALLIN, JOHN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
(Sarah L. Kuhns	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address -	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrates 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galler, U.S. Patent 3,196,018, in view of Armand Products Company brochure, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Galler does not disclose a coating that is only hydrogenated fat. Applicant points to Example IX as evidence of this assertion. The Examiner at no point relied on this specific example and respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion. Galler discloses the coating being tallow (column 3, line 32). While Galler goes on to disclose the inclusion of a digestible material (column 3, lines 36-60) and combinations of film materials (like in Example IX), these are only specific embodiments and thus, these ingredients are not required. Further evidence that Galler does disclose a coating that is only tallow can be inferred from the process taught at column 3, lines 68-71, which states, "the product may be prepared by dispersing MBA in

a molten or viscous mass of the coating material, subsequently cooling or otherwise solidifying and then grinding the resulting slab." Galler disclosed tallow to be a coating material (column 3, lines 24-35) and this teaching suggests that a coating can be made solely of a coating material. Therefore, the Examiner interprets Galler to disclose a coating that is only hydrogenated fat.

Applicant also states that Galler does not list hydrogenated tallow as one of the particularly good coatings. However, Applicant is once again referring to specific embodiments in support of this assertion. As Galler discloses that a coating of only hydrogenated tallow would be effective and thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the same.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,202

Art Unit: 1761

1,202 Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah L. Kuhns whose telephone number is 571-272-1088. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached at 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700